



Hypercore Decomposition for Non-Fragile Hyperedges: Concepts, Algorithms, Observations, and Applications







Fanchen Bu Geon Lee

Kijung Shin

Group Interactions are Everywhere

• Ex 1: Collaboration among researchers, e.g., co-authorship



Hypercore decomposition for non-fragile hyperedges: concepts, algorithms, observations, and applications

Fanchen Bu¹ · Geon Lee² · Kijung Shin^{1,2}



Improving the core resilience of real-world hypergraphs

Manh Tuan Do¹ · Kijung Shin^{1,2} D

Reciprocity in directed hypergraphs: measures, findings, and generators

Sunwoo Kim¹ · Minyoung Choe¹ · Jaemin Yoo³ · Kijung Shin^{1,2}

Datasets, tasks, and training methods for large-scale hypergraph learning

Sunwoo Kim¹ · Dongjin Lee² · Yul Kim³ · Jungho Park³ · Taeho Hwang³ · Kijung Shin^{1,2}

Interplay between topology and edge weights in real-world graphs: concepts, patterns, and an algorithm

Fanchen Bu¹ · Shinhwan Kang² · Kijung Shin^{1,2} 💿

Group Interactions are Everywhere (cont.)

• Ex 2: Communication among a group, e.g., emails, online group chats



21:05

76%

<u>ि</u> ि ि

Will finish in the AM.

Group Interactions are Everywhere (cont.)

• Ex 3: Co-purchases of items on Ecommerce platforms





- **Q:** How can we represent real-world group interactions?
- Hypergraphs model group interactions among individuals or objects
- Each hyperedge is a subset of any number of nodes
- Each hyperedge indicates a group interaction among its members

- **Q:** How can we represent real-world group interactions?
- Hypergraphs model group interactions among individuals or objects
- Each hyperedge is a subset of any number of nodes
- Each hyperedge indicates a group interaction among its members

Aut (No	hors des)	Publications (Hyperedges)	Hyperedge
Jure Leskovec (L)	Austin Benson (B)	<mark>e₁</mark> : (L, K, F) KDD'05	
Jon Kleinberg (K)	David Gleich (G)	<mark>e</mark> 2: (L, H <i>,</i> K) WWW'10	
Hao Yin (Y)	Timos Sellis (<mark>S</mark>)	<mark>e₃</mark> : (Y, B, G, L) KDD'17	
Christos Faloutsos (F)	Nick Roussopoulos (R)	e₄: (S, R, F) VLDB'87	
Daniel Huttenlocher (H)		Node Node

- **Q:** How can we represent real-world group interactions?
- Hypergraphs model group interactions among individuals or objects
- Each hyperedge is a subset of any number of nodes
- Each hyperedge indicates a group interaction among its members

Authors (Nodes)		Publications (Hyperedges)	Hyperedge
Jure Leskovec (L)	Austin Benson (B)	e ₁ : (L, K, F) KDD'05	BG
Jon Kleinberg (K)	David Gleich (G)	<mark>e</mark> 2: (L, H, K) WWW'10	
Hao Yin (Y)	Timos Sellis (<mark>S</mark>)	<mark>e₃:</mark> (Y, B, G, L) KDD'17	
Christos Faloutsos (F)	Nick Roussopoulos (R)	e₄: (S, R, F) VLDB'87	
Daniel Huttenlocher (H)		Node Node

- **Q:** How can we represent real-world group interactions?
- Hypergraphs model group interactions among individuals or objects
- Each hyperedge is a subset of any number of nodes
- Each hyperedge indicates a group interaction among its members

Authors (Nodes)		PublicationsHype(Hyperedges)e2	
Jure Leskovec (L)	Austin Benson (B)	<mark>e₁</mark> : (L, K, F) KDD'05	
Jon Kleinberg (K)	David Gleich (G)	e ₂ : (L, H, K) WWW'10	
Hao Yin (Y)	Timos Sellis (<mark>S</mark>)	<mark>e₃: (Y, B, G, L) KDD'17</mark>	
Christos Faloutsos (F)	Nick Roussopoulos (R)	e₄: (S, R, F) VLDB'87	
Daniel Huttenlocher (H)		Node ^{C1}

- **Q:** How can we represent real-world group interactions?
- Hypergraphs model group interactions among individuals or objects
- Each hyperedge is a subset of any number of nodes
- Each hyperedge indicates a group interaction among its members

Authors (Nodes)		PublicationsHype(Hyperedges)e2	
Jure Leskovec (L)	Austin Benson (B)	<mark>e₁</mark> : (L, K, F) KDD'05	BG
Jon Kleinberg (K)	David Gleich (G)	<mark>e</mark> 2: (L, H <i>,</i> K) WWW'10	
Hao Yin (Y)	Timos Sellis (<mark>S</mark>)	e ₃ : (Y, B, G, L) KDD'17	
Christos Faloutsos (F)	Nick Roussopoulos (R)	e₄: (S, R, F) VLDB'87	
Daniel Huttenlocher (H)		Node Node

- **Q:** How can we represent real-world group interactions?
- Hypergraphs model group interactions among individuals or objects
- Each hyperedge is a subset of any number of nodes
- Each hyperedge indicates a group interaction among its members

Authors (Nodes)		Publications (Hyperedges)	Hyperedge
Jure Leskovec (L)	Austin Benson (B)	<mark>e₁</mark> : (L, K, F) KDD'05	
Jon Kleinberg (K)	David Gleich (G)	<mark>e₂</mark> : (L, H <i>,</i> K) WWW'10	
Hao Yin (Y)	Timos Sellis (<mark>S</mark>)	<mark>e₃</mark> : (Y, B, G, L) KDD'17	
Christos Faloutsos (F)	Nick Roussopoulos (R)	e ₄ : (S, R, F) VLDB'87	
Daniel Huttenlocher (H)		Node Node

Roadmap





- The *k*-core $C_k(G)$ of a graph *G* is the maximum subgraph of *G* such that each node in $C_k(G)$ is **incident** to $\geq k$ edges
 - In (pairwise) graphs, each edge $e = (v_1, v_2)$ consists of a pair of nodes, v_1 and v_2 , and we say both v_1 and v_2 are incident to this edge e
 - The number of edges that a node v is incident to is also called the **degree** of v
 - It is maximum in the sense that **no node or edge can be added** into the k-core while still satisfying the conditions



- The *k*-core $C_k(G)$ of a graph *G* is the maximum subgraph of *G* such that each node in $C_k(G)$ is **incident** to $\geq k$ edges
 - In (pairwise) graphs, each edge $e = (v_1, v_2)$ consists of a pair of nodes, v_1 and v_2 , and we say both v_1 and v_2 are incident to this edge e
 - The number of edges that a node v is incident to is also called the **degree** of v
 - It is maximum in the sense that **no node or edge can be added** into the k-core while still satisfying the conditions



- The *k*-core $C_k(G)$ of a graph *G* is the maximum subgraph of *G* such that each node in $C_k(G)$ is **incident** to $\geq k$ edges
 - In (pairwise) graphs, each edge $e = (v_1, v_2)$ consists of a pair of nodes, v_1 and v_2 , and we say both v_1 and v_2 are incident to this edge e
 - The number of edges that a node v is incident to is also called the **degree** of v
 - It is maximum in the sense that **no node or edge can be added** into the k-core while still satisfying the conditions



- The *k*-core $C_k(G)$ of a graph *G* is the maximum subgraph of *G* such that each node in $C_k(G)$ is **incident** to $\geq k$ edges
 - In (pairwise) graphs, each edge $e = (v_1, v_2)$ consists of a pair of nodes, v_1 and v_2 , and we say both v_1 and v_2 are incident to this edge e
 - The number of edges that a node v is incident to is also called the **degree** of v
 - It is maximum in the sense that **no node or edge can be added** into the k-core while still satisfying the conditions



- The *k*-core $C_k(G)$ of a graph *G* is the maximum subgraph of *G* such that each node in $C_k(G)$ is **incident** to $\geq k$ edges
 - We can obtain the k-core by keeping removing nodes violating the conditions
 - Whenever a node is removed, all its incident edges are removed too





- The *k*-core $C_k(G)$ of a graph *G* is the maximum subgraph of *G* such that each node in $C_k(G)$ is **incident** to $\geq k$ edges
- Applications: community detection, anomaly detection, network visualization, important-node identification, ...



- **Q:** How can we generalize *k*-cores in hypergraphs?
- The *k*-core $C_k(G)$ of a graph *G* is the maximum subgraph of *G* such that each node in $C_k(G)$ is incident to $\geq k$ edges
- The *k*-hypercore $C_k(H)$ of a hypergraph H is the max. subhypergraph of H such that each node in $C_k(H)$ is incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
- Q: What kind of subhypergraphs should we allow?



- The *k*-hypercore $C_k(H)$ of a hypergraph *H* is the max. subhypergraph of *H* such that each node in $C_k(H)$ is incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
- Q: What kind of **subhypergraphs** should be allowed in *k*-hypercores?
 - Simply removing some of the hyperedges?



- The *k*-hypercore $C_k(H)$ of a hypergraph H is the max. subhypergraph of H such that each node in $C_k(H)$ is incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
- Q: What kind of **subhypergraphs** should be allowed in *k*-hypercores?
 - What if we remove **some of the nodes from a hyperedge**?



- The *k*-hypercore $C_k(H)$ of a hypergraph *H* is the max. subhypergraph of *H* such that each node in $C_k(H)$ is incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
- Q: What kind of **subhypergraphs** should be allowed in *k*-hypercores?
 - How many nodes are allowed to leave a hyperedge?



A Naïve Definition with Fragile Hyperedges

- Hyperedges are **fragile**, with **every** member **indispensable**
- A hyperedge is kept only if **all** the constituent nodes remain
- But this is **NOT** realistic!



Real-World Groups are Not Fragile

• Many real-world groups still remain valid even if some members leave





Definition: (k, t)-Hypercores

- Given a hypergraph H = (V, E), a positive integer k, and $t \in [0, 1]$
- The (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{t}) -hypercore $C_{k,t}(H)$ of H, is the maximum subhypergraph of H such that
 - Each **node** in $C_{k,t}(H)$ is incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each **hyperedge** in $C_{k,t}(H)$ contains $\geq t$ proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



Related Concepts: *t*-Hypercoreness & *k*-Fraction

- The *t*-hypercoreness $c_t(v)$ of a node v is the maximum k^* such that v is in the (k^*, t) -hypercore
- The **k-fraction** $f_k(v)$ of a node v is the maximum t^* such that v is in the (k, t^*) -hypercore
- **Example:** Different (k, t)-hypercore structures with different t values



Related Concepts: *t*-Hypercoreness & *k*-Fraction

- The *t*-hypercoreness $c_t(v)$ of a node v is the maximum k^* such that v is in the (k^*, t) -hypercore
- The **k-fraction** $f_k(v)$ of a node v is the maximum t^* such that v is in the (k, t^*) -hypercore
- **Example:** Different (k, t)-hypercore structures with different t values



Computation Algorithms: Find the Core

- **Peeling:** We repeatedly remove nodes and hyperedges violating the conditions, until the conditions are satisfied
- Time complexity: Linear to the total size of the input hypergraph
 - Specifically, $O(\sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}} |e|)$





• **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



• **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges

6,

0

8

 $\overline{2}$

e

 Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)

k = 2



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



- **Example:** how we obtain a (*k*, *t*)-hypercore
 - Each **node** should be incident to $\geq k$ hyperedges
 - Each hyperedge should contain ≥ t proportion of the original constituent nodes (and at least two nodes)



Roadmap





Real-World Hypergraph Datasets

- Datasets: 14 real-world hypergraphs from 6 different domains
 - # nodes: 143 2.3M
 - # hyperedges: 1,047 8.6M



• Source: <u>https://w</u>	ww.cs.cornell.edu	/~arb/data/
----------------------------	-------------------	-------------

Dataset	V	E	max./avg. $d(v)$	max./avg. $\left e\right $
coauth-DBLP	1,831,126	2,169,663	846 / 4.06	25 / 3.42
coauth-Geology	1,087,111	908,516	716 / 3.21	25 / 3.84
NDC-classes NDC-substances	$1,149 \\ 3,438$	$1,047 \\ 6,264$	221 / 5.57 578 / 14.51	24 / 6.11 25 / 7.96
contact-high	327	7,818	148 / 55.63	5 / 2.33
contact-primary	242	12,704	261 / 126.98	5 / 2.42
email-Enron	143	1,457	116 / 31.43	18 / 3.09
email-Eu	979	24,399	910 / 86.93	25 / 3.49
tags-ubuntu	3,021	145,053	12,930 / 164.56	5 / 3.43
tags-math	1,627	169,259	13,949 / 363.80	5 / 3.50
tags-SO	49,945	5,517,054	520,468 / 427.77	5 / 3.87
threads-ubuntu	90,054	115,987	$\begin{array}{c} 2,170 \ / \ 2.97 \\ 11,358 \ / \ 9.08 \\ 34,925 \ / \ 9.75 \end{array}$	14 / 2.31
threads-math	153,806	535,323		21 / 2.61
threads-SO	2,321,751	8,589,420		25 / 2.64

- Coauth: Co-authorship
- NDC: National Drug Code
- Tags and threads are collected from different sub-sites on an online Q&A platform https://stackexchange.com/

Real-World Hypergraph Datasets

- Datasets: 14 real-world hypergraphs from 6 different domains
 - # nodes: 143 2.3M
 - # hyperedges: 1,047 8.6M



Source: <u>https://www.cs.cornell.edu/~arb/data/</u>

Domain	Nodes	Hyperedges
coauth	Researchers	Publications
NDC	Classes/Substances	Drugs
contact	People	Communications
email	Senders/Receivers	Emails
tags	Tags	Questions
threads	Users	Threads

- Coauth: Co-authorship
- NDC: National Drug Code
- Tags and threads are collected from different sub-sites on an online Q&A platform <u>https://stackexchange.com/</u>

Observation 1: Domain-Based Patterns of Hypercore Sizes

- Observation: Real-world hypergraphs in the same domain have similar patterns of hypercore sizes with different k and t values
- Color of each pixel: The normalized size of the (k, t)-hypercore



Observation 1: Pairwise Distance

• We define a **distance metric** to compare the patterns of hypercore sizes between different hypergrpahs, which can be interpreted as the average **pixel-level difference** between the plots in the previous page



Observation 1: Pairwise Distance

• We define a **distance metric** to compare the patterns of hypercore sizes between different hypergrpahs, which can be interpreted as the average **pixel-level difference** between the plots in the previous page





- Observation: In real-world hypergraphs, t-hypercoreness follows heavy-tailed distributions regardless of t. In some datasets, the thypercoreness strongly follows a power law.
- Left: Red colors indicates heavy-tailed distributions



- Observation: In real-world hypergraphs, t-hypercoreness follows heavy-tailed distributions regardless of t. In some datasets, the thypercoreness strongly follows a power law.
- Left: Red colors indicates heavy-tailed distributions



- Observation: In real-world hypergraphs, t-hypercoreness follows heavy-tailed distributions regardless of t. In some datasets, the thypercoreness strongly follows a power law.
- Right: Red dashed lines are reference power-law fitting lines



- Observation: In real-world hypergraphs, t-hypercoreness follows heavy-tailed distributions regardless of t. In some datasets, the thypercoreness strongly follows a power law.
- Right: Red dashed lines are reference power-law fitting lines



Observation 3: *t*-Hypercoreness is Different

- Observation: t-Hypercoreness is statistically different from other existing centrality measures
 - Meaning: t-Hypercoreness can provide unique insights of a hypergraph
- Observation: Even for the same hypergraph, with varying t values, the t-hypercoreness can be statistically different from each other
 - Meaning: Using different t values can provide us different insights



Roadmap





Application 1: Influential-Node Identification

- Spoiler: In real-world hypergraphs, *t*-hypercoreness with a proper *t* value identifies influential nodes well
- Set-up: We use a widely-used epidemic model, the SIR model
 - A single initially infected node
 - Infected nodes can infect susceptible nodes in the same hyperedge
 - Infected nodes have some probability to recover (and become immune)
 - The process terminates with only **susceptible** and **recovered** nodes





Application 1: Influential-Node Identification

- Spoiler: In real-world hypergraphs, t-hypercoreness with a proper t value identifies influential nodes well
- Influence: We measure the influence of each node v as the number of ever-infected nodes when v is the initially infected node
 - Ever-infected nodes: the nodes in the recovered state in the end
 - The influence of each node is measured by **simulations**



Application 1: Results

- Metric: For each considered measure, we compute the Pearson's r between the values of the measure and the influences of the nodes
 - The higher Pearson's r, the better
- Result summary: t-Hypercoreness with a proper t usually has high correlation with the influences of nodes



Application 1: Results

• Dataset: email-Eu



Application 2: Dense Substructure Discovery

- Spoiler: (k, t)-Hypercores can be used to efficiently and effectively find dense substructures
- Set-up: We consider a vertex cover problem
 - **Given:** A hypergraph H, # nodes to choose k_c , and the cover threshold t_c
 - Aim to: Choose k_c nodes to maximize # covered hyperedges
 - A hyperedge is **covered** if $\geq t_c$ proportion of its constituent nodes are chosen

Considered methods:

- t_c -Hypercoreness: The k_c nodes with the highest t_c -hypercoreness
- **Degree:** The k_c nodes with the highest degree
- **Greedy:** Greedily increases the number of covered hyperedges

Application 2: Results

- We vary k_c from 10 to 100 and vary $t_c \in \{0.6, 0.7, 0.8\}$
- The performance of the **degree** method is used as the reference one
- Result summary: Overall, t_c-hypercoreness outperforms the other two methods, i.e., covers more hyperedges
- Below are the results averaged over all the datasets



Application 3: Hypergraph Vulnerability Detection

- TL;DR: The concept of (k, t)-hypercores can be used to detect vulnerability in hypergraphs
- We consider an **optimization problem** on hypergraphs using the concept of (*k*, *t*)-hypercores
- We aim to remove a small number of nodes from a given hypergraph so that the size of a (k, t)-hypercore is minimized
 - Such nodes are **vulnerable nodes** in the hypergraph
 - We can pay attention to and **protect** such nodes in real-world applications





Roadmap







• Our contributions are summarized as follows:

 \checkmark Novel concepts generalizing k-cores to hypergraphs, with

✓ Theoretical properties and practical computational algorithms

✓ Various observations utilizing the proposed concepts

Extensive applications showing the usefulness of the proposed concepts

